



Pitfalls in School-Based Behavior Modification Plans

Introduction

The author, who trained as a behaviorist, has used behavioral techniques for the past 30+ years across a variety of applications and settings. Over the years, I have noticed some common errors individuals make if they were not vigorously trained in behavioral psychology. In this section, I describe some of the common pitfalls as they apply to attempts by school personnel to modify symptoms or behavioral features of neurobehavioral conditions. These pitfalls also apply to home-based behavior modification plans, however, so parents may also find these considerations important.

Is the child capable of giving you what you want?

If the child is not capable of consistently inhibiting the undesirable behavior, then introducing contingencies is only likely to distress the student and lead to further behavioral problems. Children with regulatory disorders (such as Tourette's, OCD, inattention, mood disorders) will have variable ability to regulate movements, sounds, thoughts, behaviors, and mood, even if they are highly motivated.

How do you know it's a reinforcer?

While something may seem like it should be "reinforcing" or usable as a reward, don't assume. A good strategy is to ask the child what they think would work for them.

Tackling Too Much At Once

Students with multiple diagnoses often have a number of problems, but you are unlikely to be successful if you try to tackle too many symptoms or behaviors at once. Prioritize.

Not Having a Clearly Defined, Discrete Response

Some processes, like attention, are continuous. If you want to increase on-task time, make sure that you have a clearly defined "response" that can be measured and rewarded.

Inadequate Assessment Leads to Inappropriate Goals

Too many parents and teachers rush to "behavior modification" without really studying the pattern of behavior first to see what drives it and what maintains it. One of the most common errors is to erroneously conclude that a behavior is "attention-seeking" because whenever the child exhibits the symptom or behavior, we respond to it! Another error is setting a time span (like 20 minutes) to earn a reward without first seeing if a 20-minute span is even remotely within the student's current abilities.

Failure to Use Immediate Reinforcers

The most effective way to shape or increase a desired behavior is to reinforce it every time it occurs and to reinforce it immediately. But if we look at what schools and parents often do, we find them saying things like, "If you're good today in school, you'll get a reward when you get home." or "If you earn [x] number of points every day this week, you'll get [this great reward] on Friday." At the beginning, you need to provide the reinforcers immediately or quickly after the behavior occurs. You can also use larger rewards for longer time periods (e.g., the week), but do not neglect to have immediate and effective positive reinforcers.

"The Plan Worked, So We Stopped It"

If the child exhibits the desired behavior (or inhibits the undesirable behavior) while the behavior plan is in place, don't assume that they will do it if you stop the plan. If your plan appears to be succeeding, you might try to systematically and gradually introduce longer delays before reinforcers are delivered, or occasionally 'probe' to see if the child can maintain the behavioral control without the reinforcer. Just stopping "cold turkey" may lead to a situation in which the child is "okay" for a few days or a week, but then starts to show deteriorating behavior again.

Trying to Change the Child Without Changing the Environment

Even if we agree that the child's behavior needs to change (for the child's benefit), that doesn't mean that we start by applying direct consequences to the child's behavior. Our first intervention should be to change the environment to reduce triggers to undesirable behavior, to provide more support and cueing, and to see if the child has the prerequisite skills to exhibit the desirable behavior. If not, our intervention should be to teach the skills while providing more support and cueing in the environment.

Keeping the Child in a Program When They Can't Succeed

If a child is having a horrible day due to medication side effects, fatigue, or some other factor, continuing to apply the contingencies may frustrate them and/or demoralize them. While there is some admitted value in teaching the children that they have to learn to "play hurt" because people will still have expectations of them, we may instead be teaching the

child that the world is an uncaring and uncompassionate place. Furthermore, if they can't comply with the plan that day and fail, they may lose their motivation to try again the next day. If you are working with a child whose symptom severity cycles, you may need a "Plan B" to use on particularly bad days.

Failure to Consult with Parents First

Parents often know whether their child does better if they earn rewards for desirable behavior or if they do better if they lose rewards for undesirable behavior. Parents also often know if their child is likely to get too obsessed with the reward system to the point where it is likely to be counterproductive. In my opinion, no plan should ever be implemented without involving both the student and the parent in the design of the plan.

Asking Parents to Provide the Reinforcers in the Home

Educators and parents often assume that since the parents can generally provide more powerful or desirable rewards, writing that into the behavior plan for school behavior should boost motivation and behavior. The problems with such approaches are threefold:

(1) it introduces a delay in providing or delivering the reward, (2) it keeps the parents as the main "players" when we want the child to see the teacher as being reinforcing, and (3) even parents who mean well often fail to follow through, thereby decreasing the effectiveness of the plan.

If you are planning a behavior program for implementation in school, have the necessary reinforcers or consequences all be school-based. The parents can add to that if they wish, but be sure that there are enough reinforcers in school to make the plan work.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER Copyright 2001 - 2005, Leslie E. Packer, PhD, unless otherwise noted. All rights reserved. Some of the illustrations on this site are the copyrighted work of Dennis Cox, and may not be reproduced. Information on this site is for educational purposes only and does not constitute advice for any specific student or child. To reproduce material from this site, please see the Reprint page for terms and conditions. Problems with this site? Contact: Webmaster This page last updated December 9, 2004.